arXiv:1610.03547v1 [math.FA] 11 Oct 2016

THE MULTIVARIABLE MOMENT PROBLEMS AND RECURSIVE RELATIONS

K. IDRISSI AND E. H. ZEROUALI

ABSTRACT. Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ be a d-dimensional multisequence. Curto and Fialkow, have shown that if the infinite moment matrix $M(\beta)$ is finite-rank positive semidefinite, then β has a unique representing measure, which is $rankM(\beta)$-atomic. Further, let $\beta^{(2n)} \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ be a given truncated multisequence, with associated moment matrix $M(n)$ and $rankM(n) = r$, then $\beta^{(2n)}$ has an r-atomic representing measure μ supported in the semi-algebraic set $K = \{(t_1,\ldots,t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : q_j(t_1,\ldots,t_d) \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, where $q_j \in \mathbb{R}[t_1,\ldots,t_d]$, if $M(n)$ admits a positive rank-preserving extension $M(n+1)$ and the localizing matrices $M_{q_j}(n + [\frac{\deg q_j+1}{2}])$ are positive semidefinite; moreover, μ has precisely $rankM(n) - rankM_{q_j}(n + [\frac{\deg q_j+1}{2}])$ atoms in $\mathcal{Z}(q_j) \equiv \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : q_j(t) = 0\}$. In this paper, we show that every truncated moment sequence $\beta^{(2n)}$ is a subsequence of an infinite recursively generated multisequence, we investigate such sequences to give an alternative proof of Curto-Fialkow's results and also to obtain a new interesting results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a real multisequence $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ and a nonempty subset K of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The truncated K-moment problem for $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ entails finding a positive Borel measure μ, supported in K such that

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}} = \int \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} d\mu \qquad (\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, \mid \mathbf{i} \mid \leq 2n). \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a multi-index $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d)$ of positive integers. We will write $\mid \mathbf{i} \mid = i_1 + \ldots + i_d$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} = x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is a d-tuple of real numbers.

A solution $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ of 1 is called a truncated moment sequence and μ is said to be a K-representing measure for $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$. The full K- moment problem prescribes moments of all orders. More precisely, an infinite multisequence $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ is given and we aim to find a positive Borel measure μ supported in K such that

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A57, 44A60, Secondary 47A20.

Key words and phrases. Complex moment problem, recursive multisequence , characteristic polynomials in severable variables, localizing matrix, representing measure.

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}} = \int \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} d\mu \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d; \tag{2}$$

If $K = \mathbb{R}^d$; (2) is often, and we would, called the moment problem for $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$.

In view of its fundamental importance in various field of mathematics and applied science, the results of K-moment problem have interesting application; for instant, the Curto-fialkow's results ([3, Theorems 4.7] and [5, Theorems 1.6]) have been crucial in the Lasserre's method for minimizing a polynomial over a semialgebraic set (which is NP-hard in general), see for instance [7, 8, 9]. This wide area of applications motivated Curto an Fialkow to give a generalization in several variable.

Theorem 1. [4, 5] *Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ be a real multisequence and let $M(\beta)$ be its associated moment matrix. If $M(\beta) \geq 0$ and $M(\beta)$ have finite rank, then β has a unique $rankM(\beta)$-atomic representing measure.*

The next theorem characterizes the existence of a finitely atomic K-representing measure, in the case when K is a semi-algebraic set, that is, $K = K_{\mathcal{Q}} := \{(t_1, \ldots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : q_i(t_1, \ldots, t_d) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ where $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \{q_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \ldots, t_d]$.

Theorem 2. [4, Theorem 2.9] *An d-dimensional real sequence $\beta^{(2n)} \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d, |\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ admits a $rankM(n)$-atomic representing measure supported in $K_{\mathcal{Q}}$ if and only if $M(n) \geq 0$ and $M(n)$ admits a flat extension $M(n+1)$ such that $M_{q_i}(n + [\frac{\deg q_i + 1}{2}]) \geq 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$. In this case, $M(n+1)$ admits a unique representing measure μ, which is a $rankM(n)$-atomic (minimal) $K_{\mathcal{Q}}$-representing measure for β; moreover, μ has precisely $rankM(n) - rankM_{q_i}(n + [\frac{\deg q_i + 1}{2}])$ atoms in $\mathcal{Z}(q_i) \equiv \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : q_i(t) = 0\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

An alternative proof was provided by M. Laurent in [10], based on Hilbert's Nullstellensatz instead of the functional analytic tools used in the original proof of Curto and Fialkow.

The main purpose of this paper is to use the multi-indexed recursively sequences (and a Binet formula) not only in the aim to obtain a new and short proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, but also to give a new approach in solving the K-moment problem.

2. On the recursively generated multisequences and moment matrix

In this section, we state two necessary conditions for the existence of a finitely atomic K-representing measure. We will prove that these conditions are, also, sufficient for the existence of a, unique minimal, representing measure.

2.1. **Two necessary conditions.** The first necessary condition is established by studying the matrix positivity. To this end, we introduce some notation and definitions.

Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,|\mathbf{i}|\le 2n}$ be a given moment multisequence associated with a representing measure $\mu \ge 0$.

The matrix $M(n)(\beta) \equiv M(n) \equiv M(\beta) := (\beta_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}})$ is known in Curto-Fialkow's terminology as moment matrix. The columns and rows, of $M(n)$, are labeled by the lexicographic ordering of the canonical basis of the real vector space $\mathbb{R}_n[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ of real-valued polynomials of degree at most n. For example, in the case where $d = 2$ we write

$$1; X_1; X_2; X_1^2; X_1X_2; X_2^2; \cdots X_1^n; X_1^{n-1}X_2; \cdots, X_2^n.$$

Clearly, the entry of $M(n)$ in row $\mathbf{X^i}$ and column $\mathbf{X^j}$ is $M(n)_{\mathbf{ij}} = \beta_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}$. Furthermore, let $P \in \mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ with coefficient vector $\{P_\gamma\}$ and let $P*\beta$ denote the vector in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d_+}$ whose α-th entry is $(P*\beta)_\alpha := \sum_\gamma P_\gamma\beta_{\gamma+\alpha}$. The moment matrix $M(n)(P*\beta) = M_P(n + [\frac{1+\deg P}{2}])$ is called the localizing matrix with respect to β and P.

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}} = \int \mathbf{x^i} d\mu, \qquad \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+.$$

Then given $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{i}|\le n} a_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{x^i} \in \mathbb{R}_n[x_1,\dots,x_d]$, we have

$$0 \le \int p^2(\mathbf{x})d\mu = \int \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{x^{i+j}}d\mu = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}} \int \mathbf{x^{i+j}}d\mu = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}}\beta_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}},$$

hence $M(n)(\beta)$ is positive semi-definite.

Moreover, if μ is supported on the closed semialgebraic set $F = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid h_1(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0,\dots,h_m(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$, where $h_j \in \mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \le &\int p^2(\mathbf{x})h_l(\mathbf{x})d\mu \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}} \int \mathbf{x^{i+j}}h_l(\mathbf{x})d\mu \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}} \int \sum_\alpha (h_l)_\alpha \mathbf{x^{i+j+\alpha}}d\mu \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}} (\sum_\alpha (h_l)_\alpha \beta_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\alpha}) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}}a_{\mathbf{j}} (h_l * \beta)_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}, \text{ for all } l = 0,\dots,m.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that, if $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ admits a representing measure supported on F, then the matrices $M_{h_1}(n+[\frac{\deg h_1+1}{2}]),\ldots,M_{h_m}(n+[\frac{\deg h_m+1}{2}])$ and $M(n)$ are semidefinite positive.

The second necessary condition gives rise to recurrence relations. Indeed, suppose that $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,|\mathbf{i}|\leq 2n}$ is a moment sequence, a result of C. Bayer and J. Teichmann [1] states that every finite moment sequence admits a finite atomic representing measure. Hence there exists a representing measure μ for β such that $\text{supp}\mu \subseteq \times_{i=1}^{m_l}\{\lambda_{l,1},\ldots,\lambda_{l,m_l}\}$. We write $p_l(x)=\prod_{l=1}^{d}(x_l-\lambda_{l,i}) = x_l^{m_l}-a_1^{(l)}x_l^{m_l-1}-\ldots-a_{m_l}^{(l)}$, for all $l\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} p_l(x)d\mu, \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d, \\ &= \beta_{m_l\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} - a_1^{(l)}\beta_{(m_l-1)\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} - \ldots - a_{m_l}^{(l)}\beta_{\mathbf{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_l is the d-tuple with 1 in the l-th place and zero elsewhere. It follows that every truncated moment sequence can be regarded as the initial data of an infinite moment sequence verifying the following recurrence relations,

$$\beta_{(m_l+1)\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} = a_0^{(l)}\beta_{m_l\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} + a_1^{(l)}\beta_{(m_l-1)\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} + \ldots + a_{m_l}^{(l)}\beta_{\mathbf{i}}, \tag{3}$$

for ever $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d$ and $l\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$.

It results that the recursiveness is inherent in the truncated moment problem. This is our main motivation in the study of sequences satisfying (3) in connection with the moment problem.

2.2. **Recursively generated multisequences.** Let $\{a_j^{(l)}\}_{1\leq l\leq d,0\leq j\leq m_l}$ be some fixed real numbers and let $\beta\equiv\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_d^+}$ be a real multisequence defined by the following recurrence relations:

$$\beta_{(m_l+1)\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} = a_0^{(l)}\beta_{m_l\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} + a_1^{(l)}\beta_{(m_l-1)\epsilon_l+\mathbf{i}} + \ldots + a_{m_l}^{(l)}\beta_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad (1\leq l\leq d), \tag{4}$$

where $\omega = \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\times_{l=1}^{d}\{0,\ldots,m_l\}}$ are given initial conditions.
In the sequel, we shall refer to such sequence as recursively generated multisequence associated with the characteristic polynomials $p_\beta\equiv(p_1,\ldots,p_d)$, where

$$p_l(x) = x^{m_l+1} - a_0^{(l)}x^{m_l} - a_1^{(l)}x^{m_l-1} - \ldots - a_l^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}[x] \quad (1\leq l\leq d).$$

A recursively generated multisequence can be defined in various ways using different characteristic polynomials as is shown in the following example. Let $\{\beta_{(n,m,v)}\}_{(n,m,v)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^3}$ with $\beta_{(n,m,v)} = 5^m a^n(2^v-1)$, where a is a nonzero real number. Then $p_\beta = (x-a, x^2+ax-5x-5a, x^2-x-2)$ and $p'_\beta = (x^2-a^2, x-5, x^3-2x^2-x+2)$ are both characteristic polynomials of β.

Let $\mathcal{P}_\beta$ denote the set of characteristic polynomials associated with β.

Remarques 3. (1) *For every $p_\beta \equiv (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ and for every $Q_1(x), \dots, Q_d(x) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$, we have $(p_1Q_1, \dots, p_dQ_d) \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$.*

(2) *The characteristic polynomials p_β, together with the initial conditions, are said to define the sequence β.*

For reason of simplicity, we identify a polynomial $p \equiv \sum_{|\mathbf{i}|\leq n} a_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}}$ with its coefficient vector $p = (a_{\mathbf{i}})$ with respect to the basis of monomials of $\mathbb{R}_n[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in degree-lexicographic order. Clearly, for every polynomials $p \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}}, q \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{j}} b_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{R}_n[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have $p^T M(\beta) q = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{j}} \beta_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}$.

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of (4).

Lemma 4. *Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_d^+}$ be a recursively generated multisequence and let $M(\beta)$ be its associated moment matrix. Then $(p_1, \dots, p_d)$ is a characteristic polynomials of β if and only if $M(\beta)p_l = 0$ (for all $l = 0, 1, \dots, d$).*

Singly indexed sequences $S \equiv \{s_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ verifying (4), with $d = 1$, are known in literature as weighted generalized Fibonacci sequence [2, 6].

Theorem 5. [6, Theorem 1]*(Binet formula) Let $S \equiv \{s_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a generalized Fibonacci sequence, associated with the characteristic polynomial $p(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$, then*

$$s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-1} c_{i,j} k^j \lambda_i^k \qquad (c_{i,k_i} \neq 0), \tag{5}$$

where the $c_{i,j}$ are determined by the initial condition $s_k = 0, 1, \dots, \deg p - 1$.

Let us observe that if the characteristic polynomial of $S \equiv \{s_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ has distinct roots, say $p(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x - \lambda_i)$, then (5) can be written as follows:

$$s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \lambda_i^k \qquad , \tag{6}$$

where the c_i are determined by the initial condition $s_k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

As observed in [2, Proposition 2.1] in the singly indexed case, among all characteristic polynomials defining S, there exists a unique monic characteristic polynomial p_S of minimal degree, called the minimal characteristic polynomial, and which divides every characteristic polynomial. The next proposition gives a generalization of this result.

Proposition 6. *For every recursively generated multisequence $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_d^+}$ given by (4), there exists unique monic characteristic polynomials $p_\beta = (p_1^{(\beta)}, \dots, p_d^{(\beta)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ with minimal degree. Moreover, for all $(Q_1, \dots, Q_d) \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$, Q_l is a multiple of p_l whenever $l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.*

Proof. For $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $\mathbf{I}_l = (i_1, \ldots, i_{l-1}, i_{l+1}, \ldots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}$, a fixed $(d-1)$-tuple, we have

$$\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{l-1},m_l+1+i_l,i_{l+1},\ldots,i_d)} = a_0^{(l)}\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{l-1},m_l+i_l,i_{l+1},\ldots,i_d)} + \ldots + a_{m_l}^{(l)}\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_l,\ldots,i_d)},$$

for every $i_l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$.

Hence $p_l(x) = x^{m_l+1} - a_0^{(l)}x^{m_l} - \ldots - a_{m_l}^{(l)}$ is a characteristic polynomial associated with the general Fibonacci sequence $\beta^{(l)} : i_l \to \beta_(i_1, \ldots, i_l, \ldots, i_d)$. Thus there exists a minimal characteristic polynomial $p_{\beta,\mathbf{I}_l}$ associated with $\beta^{(l)}$. Now, for $\mathbf{I}_l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}$, $p_{\beta,\mathbf{I}_l}$ divides p_l and this implies that the polynomial $p_l^{(\beta)} = \bigwedge_{\mathbf{I}_l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}} p_{\beta,\mathbf{I}_l}$, the smallest common multiple of all $p_{\beta,\mathbf{I}_l}$, provides a positive answer to the proposition. □

In the remainder of this paper, we will associate with every recursively generated multisequence β with its minimal polynomial, that we denote p_β.

Proposition 7. *Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_d^+}$ be a recursively generated multisequence, associated with the characteristic polynomials $(p_1, \ldots, p_d)$. If $M(\beta) \geq 0$, then, for every $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, the polynomial $p_l(x)$ has distinct roots.*

To prove Proposition 7, we need the following two lemmas of independent interest

Lemma 8. *Under the notations above, for every $f, g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$, we have*

$$f^T M(\beta)(gh) = (fg)^T M(\beta)h. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Let $f, g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be polynomials. We write $f = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}}$, $g = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} g_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}}$ and $h = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}$. As the entry of the moment matrix corresponding to the column $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}}$ and the line $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}}$ is $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^T M(\beta)(gh) &= (\sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}})^T M(\beta)(\sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{j}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{i}}g_{\mathbf{j}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\gamma_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}} \\ &= (fg)^T M(\beta)h. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9. *For every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_2]$ and any integer $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$M(\beta)p^n = 0 \Longrightarrow M(\beta)p = 0. \tag{8}$$

Proof. If $M(\beta)p^2 = 0$, then $0 = 1^T M(\beta)p^2 = p^T M(\beta)p$, from Lemma 8; since $M(\beta) \geq 0$, we obtain $M(\beta)p = 0$ and hence (8) holds for $n = 2$. By induction, (8) remains valid for any power of 2. Now , if $M(\beta)p^n = 0$ we choose r in such a way that $r + k$ is a power of 2, hence

$$M(\beta)p^{n+r} = 0.$$

Which gives $M(\beta)p = 0$.

Proof of Proposition 7. Let $p_l(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1}(x-\lambda_{l,i})^{n_{l,i}}$ and let $M_l = \max_{i=0}^{m_l-1} n_{l,i}$. Applying Lemma 4 we obtain $M(\beta)\prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1}(x-\lambda_{l,i})^{n_{l,i}} = 0$, we derive that $M(\beta)(\prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1}(x-\lambda_{l,i}))^{M_l} = 0$, and hence Lemma 9 yields that $M(\beta)\prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1}(x-\lambda_{l,i}) = 0$. It follows, by Lemma 4, that $\prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1}(x-\lambda_{l,i})$ is a characteristic polynomial, of β, dividing $p_l(x)$. Since $p_l(x)$ is minimal, then $p_l(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1}(x-\lambda_{l,i})$, as desired.

3. Main results

We present a characterization of moment sequences involving the recursively generated multisequence and the moment matrix, that leads to new proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 10. *Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ be a multisequence of real numbers and let $M(\beta)$ and $M(n)$ be the moment matrices associated with β and $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{|\mathbf{i}|\le 2n,\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$, respectively. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *$M(\beta)$ is a finite-rank positive semidefinite matrix.*
(2) *β is recursively generated, associated with the minimal characteristic polynomials $(p_1,\dots,p_d)$ and $M(\tau)\ge 0$, with $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\deg p_i - 1)$.*
(3) *β has a unique representing measure, which is $rank M(\beta)$-atomic.*

For the proof of Theorem 10 we will need the following auxiliary result which can be regarded as the Binet Formula for the recursively generated multisequences.

Lemma 11. *Let $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)}\}_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ be a real recursively generated multisequence associated with the characteristic polynomials $(p_1,\dots,p_d)$, where $p_j(x) = \prod_{l=0}^{m_j-1}(x-\lambda_{j,l})$. Then, there exists $c_{(l_1,\dots,l_d)}$ real numbers, determined by the initial conditions $\{\beta_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)}\}_{i_j\in\{0,\dots,m_j-1\}}$ such that*

$$\beta_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1}\cdots\sum_{l_d=0}^{m_d-1} c_{(l_1,\dots,l_d)}\lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1}\dots\lambda_{d,l_d}^{i_d}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. For $(i_2,\dots,i_d)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}$ given, the singly sequence $i_1\to\beta_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)}$ is a general Fibonacci sequence associated with the characteristic polynomial $p_1(x) = \prod_{l=0}^{m_1-1}(x-\lambda_{1,l})$. Then the Binet formula implies that

$$\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1} c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)} \lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1}, \tag{10}$$

where the $c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)}$ are determined by the initial condition $\{\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)}\}_{0\leq i_1\leq m_1-1}$. We claim that, for every integer $l_1 \geq 0$, the single sequence $i_2 \to c_{l_1}^{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)}$ is a general Fibonacci sequence associated with the characteristic polynomial $p_2(x)$.

Indeed, since $i_2 \to \beta_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_d)}$ is a Fibonacci sequence associated with $p_2(x)$, we obtain

$$\beta_{(i_1,i_2+m_2,i_3,\ldots,i_d)} - a_1^{(2)}\beta_{(i_1,i_2+m_2-1,i_3,\ldots,i_d)} - \ldots - a_{m_2}^{(2)}\beta_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_d)} = 0.$$

It follows from 10,

$$\sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1} p_2(c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)})\lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1} = 0$$

where $p_2(c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)}) = c_{l_1}^{(i_2+m_2,i_3,\ldots,i_d)} - a_1^{(2)}c_{l_1}^{(i_2+m_2-1,i_3,\ldots,i_d)} - \ldots - a_{m_2}^{(2)}c_{l_1}^{(i_2,i_3,\ldots,i_d)}$. For $i_1 \in \{0,1,\ldots,m_1-2\}$ and m_1-1, we derive the following Vandermonde system

$$\begin{cases} p_2(c_0^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)})\lambda_{1,0}^0 & + \ldots + p_2(c_{m_1-1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)})\lambda_{1,m_1-1}^0 & = 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_2(c_0^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)})\lambda_{1,0}^{m_1-1} & + \ldots + p_2(c_{m_1-1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)})\lambda_{1,m_1-1}^{m_1-1} & = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\{\lambda_{1,l_1}\}_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1}$ are distinct, the unique solution is zero,

$$p_2(c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)}) = 0, \qquad 0 \leq l_1 \leq m_2 - 1.$$

As the integer i_2 is arbitrary, we have , $i_2 \to c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)}$ is a general Fibonacci sequence associated with $p_2(x)$ for every $0 \leq l_1 \leq m_1 - 1$. Similarly, one can show that the singly indexed sequence $i_j \to c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_j,\ldots,i_d)}$ is a general Fibonacci sequence associated with the characteristic polynomial $p_j(x)$. By applying the Binet formula to the sequence $i_2 \to c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)}$, we get $c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_j,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_2=0}^{m_2-1} c_{(l_1,l_2)}^{(i_3,\ldots,i_d)}\lambda_{2,l_2}^{i_2}$, where $c_{(l_1,l_2)}^{(i_3,\ldots,i_d)}$ are determined by the initial condition $\{c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_d)}\}_{0\leq i_2\leq m_2-1}$. Hence

$$\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1} \lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{m_2-1} \lambda_{2,l_2}^{i_2} c_{(l_1,l_2)}^{(i_3,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1}\sum_{l_2=0}^{m_2-1} \lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1}\lambda_{2,l_2}^{i_2} c_{(l_1,l_2)}^{(i_3,\ldots,i_d)}.$$

Now we will show that, for every $0 \leq l_1 \leq m_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq l_2 \leq m_2 - 1$, the sequence $i_3 \to c_{(l_1,l_2)}^{(i_3,\ldots,i_d)}$ is a general Fibonacci sequence associated with

$p_3(x)$. To this aim it suffices to remark that $i_3 \to c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_j,\ldots,i_d)}$ is a general Fibonacci sequence associated with $p_3(x)$ and replace, in the above proof, the sequence $i_2 \to \beta_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_d)}$ by $i_3 \to c_{l_1}^{(i_2,\ldots,i_j,\ldots,i_d)}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{m_2-1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{m_3-1} \lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1} \lambda_{2,l_2}^{i_2} \lambda_{3,l_3}^{i_3} c_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}^{(i_4,\ldots,i_d)},$$

where $c_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}^{(i_4,\ldots,i_d)}$ are determined by $\{c_{(l_1,l_2)}^{(i_3,\ldots,i_d)}\}_{0\le i_3\le m_3-1}$. By induction we get

$$\beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1} \cdots \sum_{l_d=0}^{m_d-1} c_{(l_1,\ldots,l_d)} \lambda_{1,l_1}^{i_1} \ldots \lambda_{d,l_d}^{i_d}, \tag{11}$$

where $c_{(l_1,\ldots,l_d)}$ are real numbers. □

Using the multi index notations $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_p)$, $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \cdots, l_p)$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{l}} = (\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \cdots, \lambda_{p,\mathbf{l}})$, The expression (11) becomes

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}} = \beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{I}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}^{i_1} \ldots \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}^{i_d} = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{I}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \lambda_{\mathbf{l}}^{\mathbf{i}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathfrak{I} \equiv \mathfrak{I}(\beta) := \{\mathbf{l} \equiv (l_1, \ldots, l_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d \mid c_{\mathbf{l}} \neq 0\}$.

Proof of Theorem 10. First we show the equivalence between the assertions (1) and (2). Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and let $s_{j+1} = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid X_j^{n+1} = a_0^{(j)} X_j^n - a_1^{(j)} X_j^{n-1} - \ldots - a_n^{(j)} 1$ for some $a_0^{(j)}, \ldots, a_n^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where X_j^i denotes the index of columns and rows of $M(\beta)$ as in the above section. For every $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we will have

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}+(s_j+1)\epsilon_j} = a_0^{(j)} \beta_{\mathbf{i}+s_j\epsilon_j} + \ldots + a_{s_j}^{(j)} \beta_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Hence β is recursively generated associated with the minimal characteristic polynomials $(p_1, \ldots, p_d)$, where $p_j(x) = x^{s_j+1} - a_0^{(j)} x^{s_j} - \ldots - a_{s_j}^{(j)} (j \in \{1, \ldots, d\})$.

Conversely, since β is recursively generated multisequence, every column $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$ in $M(\beta)$, such that $i_l \ge \deg p_l$ for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, is a linear combination of lower (power index) columns; more precisely,

$$\mathbf{X}^{(l_1,\ldots,l_j,\ldots,d)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_l} a_i^{(j)} \mathbf{X}^{(l_1,\ldots,l_j-i,\ldots,d)}.$$

and then $M(\beta)$ has a finite rank. It remains to show that $M(\beta) \ge 0$. To this end, construct the matrix $W_s \in M_{\tau+s+1,m(\tau+s+1)}$, the algebra of $(\tau + s + 1) \times m(\tau + s + 1)$ real matrices, where $m(\tau + s + 1)$ denote the number of columns (or rows) of $M(\tau + s + 1)(\beta)$, such that the successive columns of W_s are defined by

$$\mathbf{X}^{\sum_{k=1}^{d} l_k\epsilon_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\deg p_j} a_i^{(j)} e_{((l_j-i)\epsilon_j + \sum_{k\neq j} l_k\epsilon_k)},$$

where $l_1 + \ldots + l_d = \tau + s + 1$, $l_j \geq \deg p_j$ and $\{e_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{|\mathbf{i}|\leq \tau+s+1}$ denote the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m(\tau+s+1)}$, that is, $e_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the vector with 1 in the $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$ entry and 0 all other positions. Remark that if $\sum_{k=1}^{d} n_k \geq \tau + 1$, then there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $n_j \geq p_j$. Thus it follows, from 4, that

$$M(\tau + s + 1) = \begin{pmatrix} M(\tau + s) & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix},$$

with $B = M(\tau + s)W_{\tau+s}$ and $C = B^*W$. Therefore, if $M(\tau + s) \geq 0$, then (by Smul'jan's Theorem [11]) we get $M(\tau + s + 1) \geq 0$. As $M(\tau) \geq 0$ then, by induction over $s \geq 0$, we conclude that $M(\beta) \equiv M(\infty)(\beta) \geq 0$.

We show now the equivalence between (2) and (3). From 7, the polynomial $p_l(x)$ has distinct roots (not necessary real) for all $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. We put $p_l(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{m_l-1} (x - \lambda_{l,i})$. According to the relation (12), $\beta_{\mathbf{i}} \equiv \beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)}$ can be expressed as follows

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}} = \beta_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{I}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}^{i_1} \ldots \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}^{i_d} = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{I}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \lambda_{\mathbf{l}}^{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Thus the measure

$$\mu = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{I}} c_{\mathbf{l}} d\delta_{\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}} \ldots d\delta_{\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1-1} \cdots \sum_{l_d=0}^{m_d-1} c_{(l_1,\ldots,l_d)} d\delta_{\lambda_{1,l_1}} \ldots d\delta_{\lambda_{d,l_d}},$$

satisfies

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i}} = \int \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} d\mu.$$

To see that μ provides a positive answer to the moment problem (2), that is, $c_{\mathbf{l}} > 0$ and $\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \ldots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}} \in \mathbb{R}$, whenever $\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}$. We consider the the following family of interpolation polynomials at the atoms of the representing measure μ, say $supp\mu := \{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathbf{l}_m}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}_s}}(x_1, \ldots, x_d) &= L_{(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}_s},\ldots,\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}_s})}(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{d} \Big(\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq s}} \frac{x_i - \lambda_{i,\mathbf{l}_j}}{\lambda_{i,\mathbf{l}_s} - \lambda_{i,\mathbf{l}_j}} \Big), \quad (s \in \{1, \ldots, m\}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}_s}}(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}_s}, \ldots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}_s}), \\ 0 \text{ elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that, for any $\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathbf{l}} &= \int \mid L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}} \mid^2 d\mu \\ &= L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}}^T M(\beta) L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and also, for any $j \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{j,\mathbf{l}} c_{\mathbf{l}} &= \int x_j \mid L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}} \mid^2 d\mu \\ &= L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}}^T M_{x_j}(\beta) L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}} \in \mathbb{R},\end{aligned}$$

since the localizing matrix $M_{x_j}(\beta)$ (defined above) is a symmetric real matrix. As $c_{\mathbf{l}} \neq 0$, because $\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}$, then $c_{\mathbf{l}} > 0$, and hence $\lambda_{j,\mathbf{l}} \in \mathbb{R}$, as desired.

It remains to show that μ is a $rankM(\beta)$-atomic and is the unique representing measure of β. To this aim, assume that $M(\beta) \sum\limits_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}} a_{\mathbf{l}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{l}}}} L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}} = 0$, where $\{a_{\mathbf{l}}\}_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}}$ are real numbers (not all zero) and $\lambda_{\mathbf{l}} = (\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \ldots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}})$. Since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{i}}}} L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}}^T M(\beta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{j}}}} L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{j}}} = \delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, the Kronecker delta, we obtain

$$0 = (\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{i}}}} L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}})^T M(\beta) \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{i}}}} L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{I}} a_{\mathbf{i}}^2,$$

a contradiction. Thus card $supp\mu \leq rankM(\beta)$.

On the other hands, from (12), $M(\beta) = \sum\limits_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \zeta_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}}^T \zeta_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}}$, where $\zeta_{\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}} := (\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}{}^{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$, hence

$$rankM(\beta) \leq \text{card } \mathfrak{I} = \text{card } supp\mu.$$

Therefore $rankM(\beta) = \text{card } supp\mu$. To get uniqueness, let us suppose now that $\mu' := \sum\limits_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{I}'} c'_{\mathbf{i}} \delta_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}}$ is another representing measure for β; that is, $\int p d\mu = \int p d\mu'$, for every $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$. Let $\{L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}}\}_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{I} \cup \mathfrak{I}'} \subset \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be the interpolating polynomials at the points of $\mathfrak{I} \cup \mathfrak{I}'$. If $supp\mu \neq supp\mu'$, then there exists $\mathbf{j} \in \mathfrak{I}' \backslash \mathfrak{I}$. Thus

$$0 \neq c'_{\mathbf{j}} = \int L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{j}}} d\mu' = \int L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{j}}} d\mu = 0,$$

a contradiction, hence $supp\mu = supp\mu'$. Also, we have

$$c'_{\mathbf{i}} = \int L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}} d\mu' = \int L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}} d\mu = c_{\mathbf{i}}, \text{ whenever } \mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{I} \cup \mathfrak{I}'.$$

Therefore $\mu = \mu'$, as desired. The reverse implication follows directly from Section 2.1. □

Let us recall [3, Theorem 7.8]: If $M(n)$ is positive semidefinite and admits a flat extension $M(n+1)$, then $M(n+1)$ admits unique successive flat moment extensions $M(n+2), M(n+3), \ldots, M(\infty) \equiv M(\beta)$. In addition with Theorem 10, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 12. *Let $\beta^{(2n)} \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, |\mathbf{i}| \leq 2n}$ be a truncated multisequence and let $M(n)$ be its associated moment matrix. If $M(n)$ is positive semidefinite and admits a flat extension $M(n+1)$, then $M(n+1)$ has a unique representing measure μ; such that card $supp\mu = rankM(n)$.*

We give now a short proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We have shown in Section 2 that the positive semidefiniteness of $M(n)$ and $M_{q_i}(n + [\frac{\deg q_i+1}{2}])$, for all $q_i \in K_{\mathcal{Q}}$, are necessary conditions for the existence of a representing measure μ, for $\beta^{(2n)}$, supported in $K_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Also, μ is a representing measure for some recursively generated moment sequence $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+}$ (notice in passing that, $M(\beta) \geq 0$ and $rankM(\beta) < \infty$). Therefore, from Theorem 10, $rankM(\beta) = supp\mu$ $(= rankM(n))$ and hence $M(n)$ admits a rank-preserving extension.

We prove the reverse inclusion. As $M(n) \geq 0$ and $M(n)$ admits a flat extension $M(n+1)$, from Corollary 12, $M(n+1)$ admits a unique $rankM(n)$-atomic representing measure, write

$$\mu = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} d\delta_{\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}} \dots d\delta_{\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}}, \tag{13}$$

where $r = rankM(n)$, $c_1, \dots, c_r$ are positive numbers and $\lambda_{\mathbf{l}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, whenever $\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{J}$. By virtue of [3, Theorem 7.8], $M(n+1)$ admits a unique (positive) flat extension $M(\infty) \equiv M(\beta)$, that is, $\beta^{(2n)}$ is a subsequence of some recursively generated moment sequence $\beta \equiv \{\beta_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+}$.

For $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, denote $q_k(t_1, \dots, t_d) = \sum_{\alpha} q_{k,\alpha} t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots t_d^{\alpha_d}$. From (12), we have $\beta_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)} = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}^{i_1} \dots \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}^{i_d}$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
(q_k * \beta)_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)} &= \sum_{\alpha} q_{k,\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} \lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}^{i_1+\alpha_1} \dots \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}^{i_d+\alpha_d} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} q_k(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \dots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}) \lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}^{i_1} \dots \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}^{i_d} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} q_k(\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}) \lambda_{\mathbf{l}}^{\mathbf{i}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\mathbf{l}} q_k(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \dots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}) &= \int \mid L_{(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}},\dots,\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}})} \mid^2 q_k d\mu \\
&= L^T_{(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}},\dots,\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}})} M_{q_k}(\beta) L_{(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}},\dots,\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}})},
\end{aligned}$$

and $M_{q_k}(\beta) \equiv M(q_k * \beta) \geq 0$, then $c_{\mathbf{l}} q_k(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \dots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}) \geq 0$. Thus, for every $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_d) \in \mathfrak{J}$, we obtain $q_k(\lambda_{\mathbf{l}}) = q_k(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \dots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}) \geq 0$ and this implies that $supp\mu \subseteq K_{\mathcal{Q}}$, as desired.

Since β is recursively generated, $M(\beta) \geq 0$ and $rankM(\beta) = rankM(n)$, we derive from Relation (12) and Theorem 10 that $\mu = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} d\delta_{\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}} \dots d\delta_{\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}}$ is the unique representing measure of β. Similarly, since for every $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\{(q_i * \beta)_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+}$ is a recursively generated sequence, with $rankM(q_i * \beta) = rankM_{q_i}(\infty) = rankM_{q_i}(n + [\frac{\deg q_i+1}{2}])$ and $M_{q_i}(n + [\frac{\deg q_i+1}{2}]) \geq 0$. We get, by applying Theorem 10 and Relation (14), that $\{(q_i * \beta)_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+}$ admits a

unique representing measure

$$\mu_i = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathfrak{J}} c_{\mathbf{l}} q_i(\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}, \dots, \lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}) d\delta_{\lambda_{1,\mathbf{l}}} \dots d\delta_{\lambda_{d,\mathbf{l}}}, \tag{15}$$

which is $M_{q_i}(n+[\frac{\deg q_i+1}{2}])$-atomic. Thus, from (13) and (15), μ has precisely $rankM(n) - rankM_{q_i}(n + [\frac{\deg q_i+1}{2}])$ atoms in $\mathcal{Z}(q_i) := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : q_i(t) = 0\}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq m$. □

References

[1] C. Bayer and J. Teichmann, The proof of Tchakaloff's theorem Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134:10 (2006), 3035-3040.

[2] R. Ben Taher, M. Rachidi and H. Zerouali, Recursive subnormal completion and truncated moment problem, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001) 425-432.

[3] R. E. Curto and L. A. Fialkow, solution of the truncated complex moment problem for flat data, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1996).

[4] R. E. Curto and L. A. Fialkow, Truncated K-moment problems in several variables, J. Operator Theory 54 (2005), 189- 226.

[5] R.E. Curto and L.A. Fialkow. The truncated complex K-moment problem. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 2825- 2855.

[6] F. Dubeau, W. Motta, M. Rachidi, and O. Saeki, On weighted r-generalized Fibonacci sequences, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 35 (1997) 102-110.

[7] J.B. Lasserre. Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments, SIAM J. Optim. 11, pp. 796-817.

[8] J.B. J.B. Lasserre. Polynomials nonnegative on a grid and discrete optimization, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354, pp. 631-649.

[9] J.B. Lasserre. Moments, Positive Polynomials and Their Applications, Imperial College Press, 2009.

[10] J.B. Laurent, M. (2005). Revisiting two theorems of Curto and Fialkow on moment matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133, pp. 2965-2976.

[11] J.L. Smul'jan, An operator Hellinger integral (Russian), Mat. Sb. 91 (1959), 381-430.

K. Idrissi, Mohamed V University. Rabat Morocco
E-mail address: kaissar.idrissi@gmail.com

E. H. Zerouali, Mohamed V University. Rabat Morocco
E-mail address: Zerouali@fsr.ac.ma